What allomorphy is happening in these examples? Which morpheme is being **conditioned** (or **triggered**) by which element? Try and identify the same pattern that holds in all cases.

- (1) a. go (today)
 - b. went (yesterday)
- (2) a. *good*
 - b. better
 - c. best

What would the tree structure for this interaction look like? Which node is looking at which?

Compare with a similar phenomenon for Applicatives in Georgian, here with the verb *rbina* 'run' (see if you can figure out the glosses for the different morphemes):

- (3) a. mo-m-i-rbina 'I had run here'
 - b. mo-gv-i-rbina 'we had run here'
 - c. mo-g-<u>i</u>-rbina(t) 'you all had run here'
 - ______
 - d. mo-Ø-u-rbina(t) 'he/she/they had run here'

Also for suppletive verbs in Hiaki:

		SG	PL	
(4)	a.	vuite	tenne	ʻrun'
	b.	siika	saka	'go'
	c.	weama	rehte	'wander'
	d.	kivake	kiime	'enter'
	e.	vo'e	to'e	ʻlie'
	f.	weye	kaate	'walk'
	g.	mea	sua	'kill' (sg∼pl object)

If you finish with time to spare: what other interactions would you expect to exist?

What allomorphy is happening in these examples? Which morpheme is being **conditioned** (or **triggered**) by which element? Try and identify the same pattern that holds in all cases.

- (1) English plurals (focus on the form of the plural morpheme, not the root)
 - a. sheep, deer
 - b. oxen
 - c. ...
 - d. dogs, cats

What would the **tree structure** for these interactions look like? Which nodes are looking at which?

Compare with a similar pattern for the form of Latin tense:

(2) a. *am-ā-<u>ba</u>-m*

$$\sqrt{\text{am}}$$
-TH- $\underline{\text{Past}}$ -1sG

'I loved'

b. $am-\bar{a}-ve-\underline{ra}-m$

$$\sqrt{\text{am-TH-Perf}}$$
-Past-1sG

'I had loved'

(3) a. $am-\bar{a}-b-\bar{o}$

$$\sqrt{\text{am}}$$
-тн-Fut-1sG

'I will love'

b. $am-\bar{a}-ve-\bar{r}-\bar{o}$

$$\sqrt{\text{am}}$$
-TH-Perf -Fut-1sG

'I will have loved'

What allomorphy is happening in these examples? Which morpheme is being **conditioned** (or **triggered**) by which element? Try and identify the same pattern that holds in all cases.

- (1) a. ungrade[ad]
 - b. *jam*[**d**]
 - c. jump[t]
- (2) a. *a* dog
 - b. an apple

What would the **tree structure** for this interaction look like? Which node is looking at which?

Similar phenomenon in Moroccan Arabic (and other dialects):

- a. xtsa-h 'his error' ktab-u 'his book'
- (3) b. fafu-h 'they saw him' faf-u 'he saw him'
 - c. msa-h 'with him' menn-u 'from him'

And in Tahitian:

	a.	'amu	'eat'	fa'a-'amu	'make eat'
	b.	rave	'do, make'	fa'a-rave	'make make'
(4)	c.	tai'o	'read'	fa'a -tai'o	'make read'
(4)	d.	mana'o	'think'	ha'a -mana'o	'remember'
	e.	fiu	'grow tired'	ha'a -fiu	'be bored'
	f.	veve	'be poor'	ha'a-veve	'impoverish'

And also in Chaha:

(5) Imperative

2sg.m	2sg.f	gloss
nomæd	nomæd ^j	'love'
noqoṭ	noqoṭ ^j	'kick'
goræz	goræz ^j	'be old'

(6) Perfective

without object	with object	gloss
qænæf	qænæf ^w	'knock down'
nækæb	nækæb ^w	'find'
nækæs	næk ^w æs	'bite'
kæfæt	kæf ^w æt	ʻopen'
qæṭær	q ^w æṭær	'kill'
mæsær	m ^w æsær	'seem'

If you finish with time to spare: what other interactions would you expect to exist?